

BASQUE E-LEXICOGRAPHIC RESOURCES: LINGUISTIC BASIS, DEVELOPMENT, AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES



Arantza Díaz de Ilarraza, Itziar Gonzalez-Dios, Gorka Labaka, German Rigau, Ruben Urizar Ixa Group, University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU)

TWO E-LEXICOGRAPHIC RESOURCES AT IXA GROUP

Standarisation of Basque language began officially 50 years ago -> challenging development and maintenance

Euskararen datu-base lexikala - Lexikoaren Behatokiaren datu-base lexikala (EDBL-LBDBL)

Monolingual lexical database with morphological information and normative information

- Creation: 1992; massive population 2010; regular updates (every new version of Euskaltzaindiaren Hiztegia)
- Entry types: standard dictionary entries, non-standard variants linked to their standard equivalents, finite and irregular verb forms, dependent morphemes, compounds, multi-word entries, abbreviations, etc.
- Total entries: 135,062; dictionary entries: 113,682
- Main applications: the spell checker *Xuxen* and the automatic processing of Basque texts
- Non-commercial license, graphical user interface

EuskalWN or Basque WordNet (BWN)

Knowledge base with word senses and sense relations in Basque linked to other languages and other resources in MCR

- Basque version of WordNet (PWN) -> expand approach to 1.6 version (started in 2002)
- Automatic update to 3.0 version (2012); when multiple intersections -> join the set of variants into one synset
- 30,697 synsets and 50,735 variants
- Main applications: UKB, the word-sense disambiguation tool for Basque
- CC BY license, two graphical user interfaces, and available at the LLOD cloud

UPDATE PROCESS

EDBL-LBDBL

- Source: Euskaltzaindiaren Hiztegia
- Detect the changes by checking automatically dictionary versions: new entries and subentries, changes in the standarisation mark and/or level, and deleted entries
- Populating procedure:
 - Entry with PoS: 1) heuristics to create database information (lemma, two-level form...) 2) manual revision
 - Entries without PoS: manually

BWN

- Source: PWN, Basque dictionaries, terminological databases, corpora, and Basque Wikipedia
- Upper concepts updated in version 3.0: the Base Level Concepts, the general concepts (genlex) and the epinonyms
- Methodology defined by Pociello (2008): manual translation, but using updated referential resources and also checking the sense in Euskaltzaindiaren Hiztegia

LINGUISTIC ISSUES: LEXICALISATION

Deciding Basque Word Forms

Do not add regular forms that can be derived from the lemma and that can also be analysed from simpler constituents:

- Lexical suffixes relating ordinals: bi 'two' -> bigarren 'second'
- Intensity markers: hau 'this one' -> hauxe 'just this one'
- Possessive pronouns: ni 'I' -> nire 'mine'
- Nouns used as postpositions or complementisers: *aurre* 'front' -> *aurrean* 'in front of'
- Words with many spatio-temporal case markers: *meza* 'mass' -> *mezan*, mezatan, mezetan 'in mass'
- Words with modal case markers: *hotz* 'cold' -> *hotzez* 'be/feel cold'
- Verbal nouns: egin 'do' -> egite 'doing'
- Causative verbs: *egin* 'do' -> *eginarazi* 'make someone do'

Add forms specialised meaning: e.g. erdiratze 'centering' a verbal noun, but a term in football (providing specialised vocabulary, but not specifically coded)

Deciding Concepts

Conceptual level imbalances vs expression level imbalances + Basque word form problems:

- 1. Merging PWN synsets: [actor, histrion, player, thespian, role_player] and [actress] -> [aktore, antzezle, komediante, antzezlari]
- 2. Splitting PWN synsets: [terrorist_organization, terrorist_group, foreign_terrorist_organization]
- 3. Adding Basque synsets: *enbata*, Basque for sudden rough weather in the Bay of Biscay and in the Cantabrian Sea

Procedure for multi-words not found in Basque dictionaries:

- 1. Create manually variant proposals based on translations of each unit
- 2. Look for them in the corpora
- 3. If found -> add with a special label (ixalex) e.g. animalia-birus [animal_virus]; if not -> label the synset as non-lexicalised (nonlex) e.g. [craniometic_point]

FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

Terminology

Add the terms that are used frequently in most of the science areas in both resources. Moreover in BWN:

- WNTERM: terms from the Science and Technology dictionary
- Terms found in the logbooks (nautical)
- Difficult to decide its place in BWN because of too specialised words -> use TZOS, terms grouped into semantic classes (early stage work)
- No equivalent in PWN -> use CILI

Automatic methods

Semi-automatic approaches to update the resources:

- Similarity measures to compare place names in EDBL-LBDBL with foreign and Basque spellings of the same location: *Philadelphia* vs **Filadelfia*
- Black-box techniques by cross-checking different ontologies with WordNet to detect knowledge discrepancies

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

TUNER: Automatic domain adaptation for semantic processing (TIN2015-65308-C5-1-R), funded by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Lexikoaren Behatokia IX, funded by Euskaltzaindia (Academy of the Basque Language)